

International Civil Aviation Organization South American Office (SAM) - Project RLA/03/902 Transition to GNSS/SBAS in the CAR/SAM Regions -SACCSA - Phase III Ninth Meeting of the Coordination Committee (RCC/9)

Lima, Peru, 1-4 July 2013

Agenda Item 5: Annual project assessment

2012 PROJECT ASSESSMENT FORMS

(Presented by the Secretariat)

	SUMMARY	
This working paper presents a set of forms for assessing the status of t project in 2012.		
	References:	
 RLA/03/902 Project Document Revisions L and O Report of the Eighth Meeting of the Coordination Comm Regional Project RLA/03/902 (Mexico, 28 May to 1 June 2 		
ICAO Strategic	This working paper is related to Strategic Objectives:	
Objectives	A. Safety C. Environmental protection and sustainable	
	development of air transport	

1. Introduction

- 1.1 With the implementation of the quality management and continuous improvement system in ICAO Technical Cooperation Bureau projects, various forms to be completed by the authorities receiving assistance are being used to measure, assess, and follow up project outcomes.
- 1.2 The information thus provided is a valuable contribution to the maintenance and strengthening of joint work, aimed at more efficient project management.
- 1.3 The documents to be completed are:
 - a) Project monitoring and control, work plan for the year. The purpose of this table is to define the work plan for the new period, the activities and results foreseen for the year with respect to each strategic objective of ICAO. All this will serve as a basis for assessing the percentage of execution. This information can be submitted on a quarterly basis to support decisions concerning execution improvements as appropriate.

- b) Survey on management and outcome indicators. These forms will be submitted annually and will contain the following sections:
 - 3.1 Section I Assessment of State satisfaction with the project
 - 3.2 Section II Assessment of achievement of project objectives
 - 3.3 Section III Assessment of project execution and provision of services by ICAO
 - 3.4 Section IV Identification of lessons learned, both positive and negative
- 1.4 States are requested to provide the information requested and take action as appropriate to attain the implementation goals established in the project work plan.

2. Annual assessment of project implementation

2.1 The assessment of project status includes a table on the situation of the project as of 30 June 2013. This table is contained in **Appendix A** to this paper and will be updated semi-annually to keep member States informed.

3. Suggested action

- 3.1 The Coordination Committee is invited to:
 - a) take note of the information contained in this working paper;
 - b) take note of the status of implementation of the project as of 30 June 2013, as shown in Appendix A;
 - c) review and approve the project monitoring and control table and the 2013 work programme presented in **Appendix B**;
 - d) review and complete the annual assessment of the status of implementation of the project contained in **Appendix C**;

APPENDIX A

1. PROJECT SITUATION AS OF 30 JUNE 2013 AND MANAGEMENT AND OUTCOME INDICATORS



IMMEDIATE OBJECTIVE 2

PLANNED TIMETABLE

OUTCOME 2.1 STATUS

PROJECT NUMBER: PROJECT TITLE:	RLA/03/902 Transition to GNSS/SBAS in the CAR/SAM Regions –SACCSA –	The same of the sa
IMMEDIATE OBJECTIVE 1	WP 1000 Monitoring network to analyse ionosphere behaviour and the opera	ntion of the models defined for the region
OUTCOME 1.1	PT-1100 Definition of monitoring network requirements	
STATUS	100%	
PLANNED TIMETABLE	Start date: 01/04/2010 Delivery date: 21/05/2010	
ACTUAL TIMETABLE	Start date: 01/04/2010 Delivery date: 30/06/2010 Deviation: 0 months	REASON:
OUTCOME 1.1 OUTCOME	DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS 2013	Remarks
Requirements of the reference stations to be installed in Latin America, which will provide the necessary data to be processed, and requirements of their interfaces with the Data Capture Centre Processing Unit - WEB site	PT-1100 document: Definition of the functional requirements of the monitoring	Activity completed
OUTCOME 1.2	PT-1200 Implementation of the Data Capture and Distribution Centre	
STATUS	Percentage of progress 100%	
PLANNED TIMETABLE	Start date: 01/07/2010 Delivery date: 18/11/2010	
ACTUAL TIMETABLE	Start date: 26/08/2010 Delivery date: 27/04/2011 Deviation: 4 months	REASON: FINANCING
OUTCOME 1.2	DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS	Remarks
	2013	11111111111
Description and definition of the requirements of the Data Capture and Distribution System and WEB site		Activity completed
OUTCOME 1.3	PT-1300 Operation of the Data Capture and Distribution Centre	
STATUS	20%	
PLANNED TIMETABLE	Start date: 01/12/2010 Delivery date: 01/09/2011	
ACTUAL TIMETABLE		REASON: Financing and situation of AENA
OUTCOME 1.3	DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS 2013	Remarks
Operation of the SACCSA monitoring network	Monitoring network in operation and PT-1300 report	Contracting of Task Group A-2 pending. Negotiations have been conducted with GMV through Amendment III to the Contract, and a revision procedure has been proposed to Project members.
		·

Form TCB FUEP - 01

Delivery date: 24/10/11 Deviation: 0 months

Complete studies of the present SACCSA phase, on issues such as communications, the ionosphere, ground network topology, etc.

PT-2100 Technical analysis of the SBAS solution in the CAR/SAM Regions

OUTCOME	2013	Remarks					
OUTCOME 2.1	DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS	Remarks					
OUTCOME 2.1	2013	Remarks					
Based on the experimental results of the previous phases, the reference station network was optimised, distributing it homogeneously in the CAR/SAM Regions to meet horizontal and vertical precision requirements for APV-I, with confidence levels between 95% and 99%.	PT-2100 document: Technical analysis of the SBAS - SACCSA solution	Activity completed					
OUTCOME 2.2	PT-2200 lonosphere analysis						
STATUS	100%						
PLANNED TIMETABLE	Start date: 15/02/2010 Delivery date: 12/10/10						
ACTUAL TIMETABLE	Start date: 15/02/2010 Delivery date: 26/11/10 Deviation: 1 month	REASON:					
OUTCOME 2.2	DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS	Remarks					
00100ME 2.2	2013	Kemarko					
Detailed analysis of ionosphere behaviour, ensuring an adequate margin to meet APV-1 operational requirements, recommending dual-frequency services based on a single-frequency service	PT-2210 document: Detailed ionosphere analysis - SACCSA and PT 2220 document: Generation of IET and support scenarios	Activity completed					
OUTCOME 2.2	PT-2400 Optimizing the communication network						
STATUS	100%						
PLANNED TIMETABLE	Start date: 10/11/2010 Delivery date: 07/09/2011						
ACTUAL TIMETABLE	Start date: 10/11/2010 Delivery date: 15/11/2011 Deviation: 2 months	REASON:					
OUTCOME O O							
OUTCOME 2.2	DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS	Remarks					
OUTCOME 2.2	DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS 2013	Remarks					
Optimum communication network configuration, assuming specific geostationary satellite that meets SACCSA requirements.	2013	Remarks Activity completed					
Optimum communication network configuration, assuming specific geostationary satellite that meets	2013						
Optimum communication network configuration, assuming specific geostationary satellite that meets SACCSA requirements.	PT-2400 document: Optimisation of communications PT-2600 Ground network topology 100%						
Optimum communication network configuration, assuming specific geostationary satellite that meets SACCSA requirements. OUTCOME 2.2 STATUS PLANNED TIMETABLE	PT-2400 document: Optimisation of communications PT-2600 Ground network topology 100% Start date: 15/02/10 Delivery date: 26/03/10	Activity completed					
Optimum communication network configuration, assuming geostationary satellite that sACCSA requirements. OUTCOME 2.2 STATUS	PT-2400 document: Optimisation of communications PT-2600 Ground network topology 100% Start date: 15/02/10 Delivery date: 26/03/10 Start date: 15/02/10 Delivery date: 07/04/10 Deviation: 0 months R						
Optimum communication network configuration, assuming specific geostationary satellite that meets SACCSA requirements. OUTCOME 2.2 STATUS PLANNED TIMETABLE	PT-2400 document: Optimisation of communications PT-2600 Ground network topology 100% Start date: 15/02/10 Delivery date: 26/03/10 Start date: 15/02/10 Delivery date: 07/04/10 Deviation: 0 months R DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS	Activity completed					
Optimum communication network configuration, assuming geostationary satellite that SACCSA requirements. OUTCOME 2.2 STATUS PLANNED TIMETABLE ACTUAL TIMETABLE	PT-2400 document: Optimisation of communications PT-2600 Ground network topology 100% Start date: 15/02/10 Delivery date: 26/03/10 Start date: 15/02/10 Delivery date: 07/04/10 Deviation: 0 months R	Activity completed EASON:					
Optimum communication network configuration, assuming specific geostationary satellite that SACCSA requirements. OUTCOME 2.2 STATUS PLANNED TIMETABLE ACTUAL TIMETABLE OUTCOME 2.2 Topology of the earth reference stations (ERS) distributed homogenously in the service area to meet operational requirements, without exceeding 48 stations.	PT-2400 document: Optimisation of communications PT-2600 Ground network topology 100% Start date: 15/02/10 Delivery date: 26/03/10 Start date: 15/02/10 Delivery date: 07/04/10 Deviation: 0 months R DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS 2013	Activity completed EASON:					
Optimum communication network configuration, assuming specific geostationary satellite that SACCSA requirements. OUTCOME 2.2 STATUS PLANNED TIMETABLE ACTUAL TIMETABLE OUTCOME 2.2 Topology of the earth reference stations (ERS) distributed homogenously in the service area to meet operational requirements, without exceeding 48	PT-2400 document: Optimisation of communications PT-2600 Ground network topology 100% Start date: 15/02/10 Delivery date: 26/03/10 Start date: 15/02/10 Delivery date: 07/04/10 Deviation: 0 months R DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS 2013	Activity completed EASON: Remarks					
Optimum communication network configuration, assuming specific geostationary satellite that SACCSA requirements. OUTCOME 2.2 STATUS PLANNED TIMETABLE ACTUAL TIMETABLE OUTCOME 2.2 Topology of the earth reference stations (ERS) distributed homogenously in the service area to meet operational requirements, without exceeding 48 stations.	PT-2400 document: Optimisation of communications PT-2600 Ground network topology 100% Start date: 15/02/10 Delivery date: 26/03/10 Start date: 15/02/10 Delivery date: 07/04/10 Deviation: 0 months R DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS 2013 PT-2600 document: Topology of the SACCSA ground network. PT-2800 SBAS solution consulting service 100%	Activity completed EASON: Remarks					
Optimum communication network configuration, assuming specific geostationary satellite that meets SACCSA requirements. OUTCOME 2.2 STATUS PLANNED TIMETABLE ACTUAL TIMETABLE OUTCOME 2.2 Topology of the earth reference stations (ERS) distributed homogenously in the service area to meet operational requirements, without exceeding 48 stations. OUTCOME 2.2	PT-2400 document: Optimisation of communications PT-2600 Ground network topology 100% Start date: 15/02/10 Delivery date: 26/03/10 Start date: 15/02/10 Delivery date: 07/04/10 Deviation: 0 months R DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS 2013 PT-2600 document: Topology of the SACCSA ground network. PT-2800 SBAS solution consulting service	Activity completed EASON: Remarks					

- A2 -

OUTCOME	2013	Remarks			
	DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS				
OUTCOME 2.2	2013	Remarks			
Requirements to be met by SACCSA in order to be compatible with other SBAS solutions: provisions to migrate to band L2C or L5, bandwidth and power for dual-frequency, and single-frequency option.	PT-2800 document: SACCSA interoperability analysis	Activity completed			
IMMEDIATE OBJECTIVE 1	SACCSA Central Processing Unit (CPU) prototype and its operation in the regi	on			
OUTCOME 1.1	PT-3100 SACCSA prototype				
STATUS	100%				
PLANNED TIMETABLE	Start date: 19/11/10 Delivery date: 11/03/11	D5400V			
ACTUAL TIMETABLE	Start date: 19/11/10 Delivery date: 04/03/11 Deviation:0 months DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS	REASON:			
OUTCOME 1.3	2013	Remarks			
Central processing unit (CPU) prototype that will be generating SBAS messages, and its interface with the Data Capture and Distribution Centre.	PT-3100 document: SACCSA CPU prototype	Activity completed			
OUTCOME 1.2	PT-3200 Prototype operation				
STATUS	20%				
PLANNED TIMETABLE	Start date: 01/03/2011 Delivery date: 01/06/2011				
ACTUAL TIMETABLE		ASON: Financing and situation of AENA			
OUTCOME 1.2	DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS	Remarks			
	2013				
Sites available close to where the SACCSA reference stations are located, allowing the CPU prototype to generate SBAS messages	PT 3200 report	Contracting of Task Group A-2 pending. Negotiations have been conducted with GMV through Amendment III to the Contract, and a revision procedure has been proposed to Project members.			
IMMEDIATE OBJECTIVE 1	Analysis of supplementary options for areas with poor or limited service				
OUTCOME 1.1	PT-5100,PT-5200, PT-5300				
STATUS	35%				
PLANNED TIMETABLE	Start date: 01/12/10 Delivery date: 01/11/11				
ACTUAL TIMETABLE	Start date: 10/10/2011 Delivery date: 00/00/0000 Deviation: 18 months	REASON: Financing and situation of AENA			
OUTCOME 1.1 OUTCOME	DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS 2013	Remarks			
Areas where SACCSA meets precision, integrity and availability requirements for APV-I, under both nominal conditions and degraded conditions due to solar activity.	PT-5100 document: Identification of areas with poor or limited provisions and complementary solutions.	Activity completed			
Supply of tools, and comparison of	PT-5200 report	Contracting of Task Group A-2 pending. Negotiations have been			
complementary solutions for GNSS to meet APV-I requirements in the service area.	PT-5300 report	conducted with GMV through Amendment III to the Contract, and a revision procedure has been proposed to Project members.			
IMMEDIATE OBJECTIVE 1	Improvements to the SACCSA WEB page				
OUTCOME 1.1	PT-6100 Definition of web site requirements				
STATUS					
PLANNED TIMETABLE	100%				
	Start date: 15/02/2010 Delivery date: 07/15/2010				
ACTUAL TIMETABLE	Start date: 15/02/2010 Delivery date: 07/15/2010 Start date: 15/02/2010 Delivery date: 06/07/2010 Deviation: 0 months	REASON:			
	Start date: 15/02/2010 Delivery date: 07/15/2010	REASON: Remarks			
ACTUAL TIMETABLE OUTCOME 1.1 OUTCOME Definition of URL web site http://www.rlasaccsa.com, access requirements, and contents, which include ICAO documents, SACCSA contents, EDISA contents, links and other material.	Start date: 15/02/2010 Delivery date: 07/15/2010 Start date: 15/02/2010 Delivery date: 06/07/2010 Deviation: 0 months DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS				
ACTUAL TIMETABLE OUTCOME 1.1 OUTCOME Definition of URL web site http://www.rlasaccsa.com, access requirements, and contents, which include ICAO documents, SACCSA contents, EDISA contents, links and	Start date: 15/02/2010 Delivery date: 07/15/2010 Start date: 15/02/2010 Delivery date: 06/07/2010 Deviation: 0 months DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS 2013	Remarks			
ACTUAL TIMETABLE OUTCOME 1.1 OUTCOME Definition of URL web site http://www.rlasaccsa.com, access requirements, and contents, which include ICAO documents, SACCSA contents, EDISA contents, links and other material.	Start date: 15/02/2010 Delivery date: 07/15/2010 Start date: 15/02/2010 Delivery date: 06/07/2010 Deviation: 0 months DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS 2013 PT-6100 document: Definition of SACCSA WEB site requirements PT-6200 Web site implementation 100%	Remarks			
ACTUAL TIMETABLE OUTCOME 1.1 OUTCOME Definition of URL web site http://www.rlasaccsa.com, requirements, and contents, which include ICAO documents, SACCSA contents, EDISA contents, links and other material. OUTCOME 1.2 STATUS PLANNED TIMETABLE	Start date: 15/02/2010 Delivery date: 07/15/2010 Start date: 15/02/2010 Delivery date: 06/07/2010 Deviation: 0 months DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS 2013 PT-6100 document: Definition of SACCSA WEB site requirements PT-6200 Web site implementation 100% Start date: 21/06/2010 Delivery date: 01/01/2011	Remarks Activity completed			
ACTUAL TIMETABLE OUTCOME 1.1 OUTCOME Definition of URL web site http://www.rlasaccsa.com, access requirements, and contents, which include ICAO documents, SACCSA contents, EDISA contents, links and other material. OUTCOME 1.2 STATUS	Start date: 15/02/2010 Delivery date: 07/15/2010 Deviation: 0 months DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS 2013 PT-6100 document: Definition of SACCSA WEB site requirements PT-6200 Web site implementation 100% Start date: 21/06/2010 Delivery date: 01/01/2011 Start date: 26/08/2010 Delivery date: 27/04/2011 Deviation: 4 months	Remarks			
ACTUAL TIMETABLE OUTCOME 1.1 OUTCOME Definition of URL web site http://www.rlasaccsa.com, requirements, and contents, which include ICAO documents, SACCSA contents, EDISA contents, links and other material. OUTCOME 1.2 STATUS PLANNED TIMETABLE	Start date: 15/02/2010 Delivery date: 07/15/2010 Start date: 15/02/2010 Delivery date: 06/07/2010 Deviation: 0 months DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS 2013 PT-6100 document: Definition of SACCSA WEB site requirements PT-6200 Web site implementation 100% Start date: 21/06/2010 Delivery date: 01/01/2011	Remarks Activity completed			

- A3 -

OUTCOME	2013	Remarks
Definition of the requirements for the implementation of the WEB site that will be used for disseminating SACGSA information through the Internet, and for showing the data obtained by the Data Capture and Distribution Centre	PT-6200 document: Definition of the SACCSA WEB site	Activity completed
OUTCOME 1.3	PT-6300 Web site operation and maintenance	
STATUS	20%	
PLANNED TIMETABLE	Start date: 01/01/2011 Delivery date: 01/05/2011	
ACTUAL TIMETABLE	Start date: 10/10/2011 Delivery date: 00/00/00 Deviation: 24 months RE/	ASON: Financing and position of AENA
OUTCOME 1.3	DELIVERABLES / INDICATORS 2013	Remarks
Ensure uninterrupted operation of the WEB site through proper maintenance and compliance with the requirements set forth in PT 6100 and 6200	PT-6300 report: WEB site operation and maintenance.	Contracting of Task Group A-2 pending. Negotiations have been conducted with GMV through Amendment III to the Contract, and a revision procedure has been proposed to Project members.

- A4 - Form TCB FUEP - 01

MONITOREO Y CONTROL DEL PROYECTO Plan de trabajo para el año 2013

PROJECT MONITORING AND CONTROL 2013 Work Plan

PROJECT No.: RLA03902

PROJECT NAME: Transition to GNSS/SBAS in the CAR/SAM Regions –SACCSA – Phase III

	AO Objectiv Description of activities and corresponding inputs	Amount budgeted for the year US\$	Amount executed in the year US\$	% executed	Scheduled start	Scheduled end	% executed to date				M	onths o	of the yea	ar		Comments and problems faced
								J A	F M		M A	Ŋ	J A	A S	C	N D O E
	A B C		(2)	(2)/(1)				N	B I	R R	Υ	N	L G	6 P	T	V C
ork package	WP-1300: Monitoring network operation															
Outcome WP-1300.2		12,500.00			Jun-13	Aug-13										
Outcome WP-1300.3	WP 1300: Monitoring network operation (Phase B)	77,000.00			Aug-13	Dec-13										
/ork package	WP-2500: Behaviour of the CPU with real data	21,000.00			Aug-13	Feb-14										
/ork package	WP-3200: CPU prototype operation															
Outcome WP-3200.2		55,000.00			Jun-13	Aug-13										
Outcome WP-3200.3	WP 3200: CPU prototype operation (Phase B)	100,000.00			Aug-13	Dec-13										
ork package	WP-5000: Analysis of areas with poor or limited service and				Jun-13	Sep-13										
Outcome WP-5000.2		22,500.00			Jun-13	Aug-13										
ork package	WP-6000: SACCSA web site															
Outcome WP-6000.2	WP 6000: Web site operation and maintenance (Phase A.2)	5,000.00			Jun-13	Aug-13										
Outcome WP-6000.3	WP 6000: Web site operation and maintenance (Phase B)	16,000.00			Aug-13	Dec-13										
Vork package	Management															
Outcome Management 4		5,000.00			Jun-13	dic-213										
Outcome Management 5	Associated to Phase B	10,697.00			dic-213	Apr-14										
Vork package	Travel	22,000.00			Apr-14	Apr-14										
	TOTAL IMMEDIATE OBJECTIVES	346,697.00			sep-213	Dec-13		1		_	-	+			-	

Project Nº: RLA/03/902

Title: Ninth Meeting of the Coordination Committee (RCC/9)



3. SURVEY ON MANAGEMENT AND OUTPUTS INDICATORS

Section I: Evaluation of current project

Section II: Assessment of compliance with objectives

Section III: Evaluation of implementation and delivery of services by ICAO

Sección IV: Lessons learned

	RATING SCALE
5.0	Exceptional results beyond project requirements
4.5	Exceeds requirements
4.0	Project objectives were achieved in all cases
3.5	Most of the project's objectives were achieved
3.0	Some quality results were achieved and implemented
2.5	Some quality results were achieved but are not implementable
2.0	Some results were achieved with little impact and quality
1.5	Below the expected results
1.0	Well below the expected results

I. EVALUATION OF CURRENT PROJECT

1 Project objectives Do you think the project's objectives are set correctly according to the development priorities of your State in relation to the National Air Navigation Plan to of civil aviation?	serve the reali
Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
2 Support at regional and global level Do you think that the project responds and supports your administration with the commitments of the Regional and Global Air Navigation Plans? Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
riease enter the fathing of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
3 Comments of the State(s) Do you have any comments on the direction of the project?	
Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
4 Strategy and vision	
Do you consider that the project meets your institution's strategy and vision that has at long term? Comments	
Confidence	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
5 Project quality What opinion deserves you the content of this project to achieve the expected objectives?	
Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
6- Project resources	

I. EVALUATION OF CURRENT PROJECT

Do you consider that the financial, physical and human resources agreed to achieve the objectives established in the project document are appropria	
Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
7 Project participants Do you consider that all parties that should be involved in the project are present? If it is not so, who should be involved?	
Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
8 Project effectiveness	
Is the project effective in costs compared to similar programs or projects?	
Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
9 Modification of project objectives	
What modifications to the objectives and scope of the project would you propose?	
Comments	
10 Other information	
Please provide any other information that may support or clarify your perception of the current project scope.	
Comments	

II. ASSESSMENT OF OBJECTIVES COMPLIANCE

1 Project objectives In terms of project management by ICAO, do you think that project objectives are being met? Comments	
Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
2 Project schedule Do you think that project objectives are being met or have been met promptly in accordance with your expectations? Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
3 Use of resources	
Do you consider that in meeting the objectives are being used, or have been used, resources efficiently? Comments	
Comments .	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
4 Project cost	
Do you consider that the costs relating to compliance with the objectives are or have been appropriate?	
Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
5 Major achievements What are the main achievements of the project in relation to the expected results?	
Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
6 Major problems and their resolution What are the main problems affecting the achievement of the expected results and how should them be resolved?	

II. ASSESSMENT OF OBJECTIVES COMPLIANCE

Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
7 Other comments Please include other comments related to the compliance of project objectives.	
Comments	
8 Risks What you events that sould be non- would probably effect the achievement of project outputs? What do you recommend to recond to those events?	
What new events that could happen, would probably affect the achievement of project outputs? What do you recommend to respond to these events?	
Comments	
Comments	
9 Other information	
9 Other information	

III. EVALUATION OF PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION AND PROVISION OF SERVICES BY ICAO

1 Decision making Do you think that the decision-making process within the project is appropriate?	
Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
riease enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 3)	
2 Products quality Do you think that the quality of products elaborated is appropriate?	
Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
3 Orientation Do you think that there is compliance with guidance towards achieving the project outputs?	
Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
Prease enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
4 Organization and prioritization Do you think the organization and prioritization within the project is appropriate?	
Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
i lease effer the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 3)	
5 Change management Do you think that the management of change and degree of flexibility in managing the project are appropriate?	
Comments	
Diagon enter the rating of acticfaction that this issue decorage to you (from 1 to 5)	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	

III. EVALUATION OF PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION AND PROVISION OF SERVICES BY ICAO

6 Service to the State Do you think that the service provided to your State is appropriate?	
Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
<u> </u>	•
7 Communication	
Do you think that the level of communication within and outside the project is adequate? Comments	
Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	Τ
riease enter the fathing of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (notif 1 to 3)	
8 Conflicts	
Do you believe that conflict management is adequate?	
Comments	
	T
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
9 Use of resources	
Do you think that project resources are being used efficiently to produce the expected results?	
Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
10 Relevance of mechanisms	
Do you think that management mechanisms of the project are relevant?	
Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
. The same of the	1

III. EVALUATION OF PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION AND PROVISION OF SERVICES BY ICAO

11 Opportunity to work plans	
On the basis of its work plan, how would you rate the degree of opportunity of the project as regards the achievement of products, outputs and delivery of	
Comments	
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
i loade officer the fathing of bathstacker that the loade decerves to year (from 1 to 0)	
12 Orientation	
Do you consider that the activities and products developed through the project are in line with ICAO directives, regional offices and air navigation plans?	
Comments	
Comments	
Disease and as the project of action that this issue decomposite on the EV	ı
Please enter the rating of satisfaction that this issue deserves to you (from 1 to 5)	
13 Other information	
Please provide any other information that may support or clarify your assessment on products and services provided through the project	
Comments	

IV. LESSONS LEARNED

1 Positive lessons learned from the project. Provide a brief description of the positive lessons learned from project implementation. Comments
Comments
2 Opportunities for improvement. Provide a brief description of the improvement opportunities identified during project implementation.
Comments
3 Strategy to implement the improvement opportunities identified. Provide a brief description of the strategy that you would propose to implement the improvement opportunities
Comments